1 800 4

MEÈTING OF THE NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL Dolly Madison Room The Madison Hotel 15th and M Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. Wednesday, December 1, 1982 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT Reported by: Ron Meeks

MOFFITT REPORTING ASSOCIATES
2849 Lafora Claure

1	Committee Chiarman:						Mr. John F. Bookout			
2										
3										
4	Approxima	tely	26	members	of	the	public	were in	attendan	ce.
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13				,						
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24	·									

PROCEEDINGS

(9:05 a.m.)

MR. BOOKOUT: Good morning. Would the meeting please come to order. I would like to welcome you to the eighty-fourth meeting of the National Petroleum Council, and express my appreciation for the effort you made to be with us this morning.

You have before you a copy of this morning's agenda. The first item of business is the calling of the roll. However, if there is no objection, I would like to suggest that we dispense with that and use the roster at the door as the official roster of attendance. And, therefore, in case you did not sign that roster, we would hope you would do so before departing.

Now I would like to introduce those here at the head table. On my far left, of course, is Robert Mosbacher. He's Vice Chairman of the Council. Next is Ralph Bailey, Chairman of the Committee of Enhanced Oil Recovery. And on my immediate left is John Swearingen, Chairman of the Third World Petroleum Development Committee. On my far right, of course, is Marshall Nichols, our Executive Director of the Council. The next is the Honorable Jan Mares, Acting Undersecretary of Energy. And on my immediate right is the Deputy Secretary of Energy, the Honorable W. Kenneth Davis.

Now Secretary Hodel had planned to be here this morning to address the group. He had to decline. He has, I think we would all agree, more important business this morning since he faces Senate confirmation hearings, which are

scheduled for 10:00 a.m.

However, we are very pleased that Deputy Secretary
Davis was able to change his schedule and be with us this
morning. I know that most of you are well acquainted with Mr.
Davis. He's held many high level positions in both industry
and government. Secretary Davis has served as the second
highest ranking executive of the Department of Energy since
May of 1981, and works closely with the Secretary in directing
all of the Department activities and programs.

He joined the Federal Government in 1954 when he was named Director of Reactor Development of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Mr. Davis received B.S. and M.S. degrees in chemical engineering from MIT. And he served on that faculty. He also held the title of Adjunct Professor of Engineering and Applied Science at the University of California at Los Angeles.

He is the immediate past President of the American Institute of Chemical Engineering, and Vice Chairman of the U.S. National Committee of the World Energy Conference. And he serves as the Vice President of the National Academy of Engineering.

Now the Secretary has some introductions and remarks for us at this time. Ladies, and gentlemen, the Honorable Ken Davis, Deputy Secretary of Energy.

(Applause)

Mr. Davis. Well, thank you, John, for the very generous introduction.

As you mentioned, Secretary Hodel -- in fact, when

I went down to the garage to come here, why he was getting into his car to go up to the Hill for his little ceremony this morning. So I am sure you all join me in wishing him the best of luck today. I think he is going to get by the confirmation hearings very handily, but it is always sort of an ordeal.

And he seemed in good spirits as he left so I hope when I get back we may find he has gone through the hearings this morning.

But it certainly does take precedence. And I know otherwise he was very anxious to be here because he is very much aware of the activities of the National Petroleum Council, and very much interested in the work that you are doing and the reports that you are going to present.

Certainly, Don and I and the others in the Department are very much aware of the role played by the National Petroleum Council in advising the Department of Energy, and through it, the Administration, on key policy issues involving petroleum and the petroleum industry, both from the domestic and the international point of view.

We are all acutely aware that petroleum accounts for more than 40 percent of our total energy use in the United States, and that U.S. production continues to outrun the discoveries by a wide amount. With reserves declining at a lower rate, we could do reevaluations of existing reserves, but there is still a very considerable gap between the discoveries and production.

This is certainly not a situation which calls for complacency, and your advice and counsel are certainly very important to the country.

The National Petroleum Council has had a long and influential history in providing advice to the U.S. Government. Formed in 1946 by the Secretary of the Interior at the request of President Harry Truman, and was then transferred to the Department of Energy when it was formed in 1977.

As you know, there is a further change which is being proposed. What we would call a merger of the Department of Energy with the Department of Commerce, with a few of the functions of the Department of Energy being transferred to other agencies.

Legislation to bring this about was introduced into the Congress this year, and likely will be introduced to the new Congress since it is certainly not one of the key items for the present lame duck session.

Secretary Edwards went a long way toward the goal of this merger or this change in the format of the Department of Energy in deregulating oil, substantially reducing other forms of regulation, reducing unneeded and ineffective programs, and in focusing the Department of Energy in appropriate areas of energy research and development, with emphasis on the all important and expanding weapons program. Many people tend to forget that a real large part of our programs is associated with the defense programs and the development and testing and production of nuclear weapons.

Substantial reductions have been made in budgets and in personnel. And, of course, the Administration, as well as the Department of Energy, has been stressing a return to the free operation of market forces. And that has been and

continues to be our goal.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The proposed merger with the Department of Commerce seems very logical and a useful combination of two departments with similar missions, and would provide the degree of representation needed at the Cabinet level. After all, energy is not a single thing, except perhaps in terms of dynamics, but instead is a wide spectrum of commodities produced, handled and consumed, with the appropriate transactions occurring in both domestic and international marketplaces.

It is interesting to note that of the 21 international energy agency countries only four have separate ministries of energy. The rest handle their energy policies and energy matters in combination with other activities -industry, trade, economics and so forth.

I would now like to have the pleasure of introducing the 12 new members of the National Petroleum Council appointed by Secretary Edwards, all of whom bring valuable, distinctive, and varied experience to this organization. I will indicate their positions to provide some idea of their expertise, but remind you that they serve as individuals; not as representatives of their organizations.

I believe that 10 of the 12 are here today. call out all of the names. I would appreciate it if those who are here would stand, and perhaps we will welcome you at the end of my introductions.

First is Mr. J.D. Allen, Chief Executive Officer I don't believe he is here today. of J.D. Allen Industries. If he is, would he stand up? I guess not.

Ι

Second, Glenn P. Anderson, President, Andover 1 Corporation. He should be here. 2 Alfred J. Coyle, Senior Vice President of Blyth, 3 Eastman, Paine, Webber, Incorporated. James J. Foster, founder and President of Venture 5 Trading Company, Incorporated. 6 William Lee Hanley, Junior, Chairman of the Board, 7 and Chief Executive Officer of Hanley, Incorporated. C. M. (Mac) McLean, petroleum geologist. 8 Straton C. Podaras, President, Equitable Petroleum 9 Corporation. Would you stand please? Thank you. 10 Henry F. Pohlmann, Pohlmann and Associates. 11 Weldon H. Smith, President, Oil Well Drilling 12 Company. 13 Paul C. Teas, Junior, petroleum geologist. 14 believe he is not here. Clifford W. Trice, independent oil operator. 15 Robert W. West, Junior, Chairman of the Board and 16 Chief Executive Officer of Tesoro Petroleum Corporation. 17 Those are our 12 new members. And I wish you would 18 join me in welcoming them here. 19 (Applause) 20 By way of conclusion I might say that we are very 21 much interested in the National Petroleum Council studies to be discussed today, and particularly the draft final report on 22 Third World Petroleum Development, which was requested by 23

Secretary Edwards who has had a deep personal interest in the

subject, as one way of not only increasing world oil reserves,

but also as a practical way of improving the economies and living standards of the developing countries.

I know the results will be of interest to us, and also to the Treasury and State Departments, as well as the World Bank. And we will share your report with them, as well as with other interested government departments.

Also we are very much interested in the studies on enhanced oil recovery, which we hope will show how we can get even more oil out of the present and future fields, as well as the study on inventory levels required to operate the system, which will give us a better understanding of the minimum inventory levels needed as the overall thru-put and other characteristics of the overall oil handling and processing system changes.

The formulation of national energy policies requires accurate data, realistic choices, and sound judgment. Many of the decisions that have to be made are painful. The National Petroleum Council, with its long history of advice on leadership, combined with enormous collective expertise, is in a good position to help point the way to the right choices. Although we may not always accept all of the advice offered, we, in the Department of Energy, appreciate your continuing support and advice, and wish you the best of success in this meeting.

Thank you very much, John.

(Applause)

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you, Ken, very much for your very kind remarks. And I'm certain that I can pledge the

continued support of the National Petroleum Council. He gives very freely of his time and dedicates very often very valuable staff assistance to make these studies worthwhile and meaningful.

As you will recall, at this meeting last April, the Council agreed to undertake the two studies just referred to that were requested by the Secretary of Energy -- enhanced oil recovery, and third world petroleum development.

These committees have been very busy. And the Third World Committee's proposed final report has been circulated to the Council membership for their consideration.

Chairman John Swearingen will now review for you his Committee's findings and conclusions. Mr. Swearingen.

MR. SWEARINGEN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

On behalf of the Committee on Third World Petroleum Development, I am pleased to present the report of the Committee to the Council for your consideration here today.

Now since the draft report was mailed to you, we have received several comments and suggestions from Council members. Proposed revisions reflecting these comments, which are consistent with the overall concensus of the Committee, are included in the information packet which are at your places this morning. I don't believe any of these are of great substance or nor do they modify the basic position of the draft report which was sent to you earlier.

The Committee on Third World Petroleum Development held its first meeting on August 11, 1982. And at this time, they approved the establishment of a coordinating subcommittee;

approved a preliminary outline of the report; and agreed the final report should be concise, clear and brief. The coordinating subcommittee was asked to prepare a draft report for consideration by the Committee on October 29, 1982.

Thanks to the hard work of the Committee, the coordinating subcommittee and the National Petroleum Council staff, I believe the report I am presenting here today is responsive to the mandate given to the Committee by the National Petroleum Council. I am also pleased to note that the report was completed within the timetable that the Committee set for this study, and I believe it deserves high marks for objectivity, clarity, and brevity.

I would like to review some of the highlights of the report that may be of particular interest to the Council.

The Committee on Third World Petroleum Development reached the broad conclusion that efficient development of petroleum resources in oil importing developing countries, or as we have termed them -- OIDCs -- can best be expanded through the cooperative action of private petroleum companies and host countries. Private petroleum companies have compiled a long and impressive record of discovering and developing petroleum resources throughout the world. Over the last decade, however, U.S. petroleum companies have not been as active in exploring for petroleum in OIDCs as they have been in other areas.

The Committee believes that this decline in effort by the U.S. petroleum companies can be largely attributed to companies' perceptions of increased political and economic risk in many OIDCs, and to hardening of the terms offered by such countries in petroleum exploration and production contracts. The United States Government has also, through changes in its tax laws and regulations, made it less attractive for U.S. petroleum companies to invest in overseas petroleum ventures.

In considering positive measures that could be taken to help reverse this trend, the Committee on Third World Petroleum Development concluded that OIDC governments that desire to attract private petroleum investment must offer terms that are competitive with those offered by other countries, and that enable a petroleum company to earn a profit commensurate with its risks and its successes.

The Committee recommends, in particular, that OIDC governments foster a fair and constructive attitude toward efficient, cooperative, long-term petroleum company operations in their countries. The foundation for such cordial and productive commercial relationships require:

Maintaining petroleum contracts in force, without imposing subsequent unilateral changes in terms;

Making and honoring commitments to neutral arbitration of disputes under international law;

Avoiding arbitrary tax and financial policies that inhibit development and that destroy the attractiveness of supplemental investment commitments;

And recognizing the need for the petroleum company to realize full, fair-market value for its share of any oil and gas produced.

The Committee endorses the U.S. policy of encouraging petroleum exploration and development by private companies. The Committee recommends that the United States

Government bolster this policy by positive actions, including:

Modifying tax legislation to encourage petroleum development throughout the world;

Amending the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act to open U.S. courts to claims against foreign governments by U.S. citizens whose property has been illegally expropriated;

And resolving the ambiguities and inconsistencies in U.S. tax and other laws and regulations that pose unwarranted hindrances to U.S. companies operating abroad.

Recently a number of international public agencies—notably, The World Bank—have become directly involved in developing oil and gas resources in OIDCs. The Committee on Third World Petroleum Development has concluded that The World Bank and other international development agencies can provide an important supplement to private company petroleum investment when such investment is concentrated on developing the infrastructure of the OIDC.

The Committee recommends that third party public agency funds should not displace potential private investments in petroleum exploration, development, or production activities, but they may have a role in financing downstream facilities needed to utilize oil and gas within the local market.

My remarks here, of course, have covered only a few of the highlights of the Committee's report, and I

recommend a full reading of the entire text before drawing any conclusions on the contents of the report. I should also note that the Committee on Third World Petroleum Development intends this report to be a statement of the principles that would stimulate petroleum exploration and development in OIDCs, and not a comprehensive catalog of all the exceptions to the rule that might arise.

In accordance with the charge given it by the National Petroleum Council, the Committee on Third World Petroleum Development made no estimates of the petroleum resource potential in OIDCs; nor did it critique the petroleum programs or policies of any specific country.

The Committee made no forecast of future energy supply and demand conditions in OIDCs; nor did it compile statistics on existing petroleum investment in such countries. Moreover, no attempt was made to quantify, either in financial or petroleum supply terms, the impact of implementing the recommendations contained in the Committee's report.

Many of the Committee's views expressed in this report are in the form of expert opinions. By the very nature of the study, it was impossible to buttress many of the statements with extensive statistical data or scientific evidence.

However, the Committee benefited from a variety of contributions of experts who were marshalled to assist in the preparation of the study, not all of whom gave unqualified endorsement to every single statement in the report, but in the end agreed to moderate their opinions to the point of recommending the entire report to the National Petroleum

Council for its approval.

The Committee drew upon representatives of the Department of Energy, and experts from academia, public accounting firms, and consulting and research organizations. Private petroleum industry personnel working in areas of exploration, production, finance, law, economics, and management brought hundreds of man-years of experience in international petroleum activities to this study.

As I'm sure you won't be surprised to hear, the very capable staff of the National Petroleum Council did a superb job in coordinating the entire study.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the enterprise and devotion of my deputy, Mr. John W. Elias, Executive Vice President of Amoco Production Company, who through persuasion, hard work, and the assistance of his staff was able to pull this report together in record time. For those of you who don't know him, I'd like to introduce John Elias to you. Please stand, John.

The NPC Committee on Third World Petroleum Development believes that development of the petroleum potential of OIDCs by private companies is in the best interest of the OIDCs, the United States, and the rest of the free world.

Mr. Chairman, the Committee recommends that the draft report, as modified by the revisions distributed this morning, be approved by the National Petroleum Council, subject to final editing. And I so move.

Thank you so much.

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you very much, John. I have a

motion to adopt the draft report of the Committee on Third World Petroleum Development. Do I have a second?

> I second the motion. VOICE:

Okay. Is there any discussion? MR. BOOKOUT: (No response)

There being no discussion, we will MR. BOOKOUT: vote on the adoption of the report. Will all of those in favor of the report please indicate so by saying "aye"?

> MR. BOOKOUT: Those opposed.

(No response)

(Chorus of "ayes")

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you very much.

John, I want to express the Council's appreciation to you, and my personal appreciation for the efforts that you have undertaken here on our behalf, and behalf of the Council. And we are all very much aware of the fact that John has given very unselfishly of his time in many, many industry endeavors. And I want to tell you I was very pleased when I went to that well one more time, and he said he would.

(Applause)

MR. BOOKOUT: We would also like to, of course, thank your Committee and your subcommittee. And as you made reference, this, obviously, was done in record time. August the 11th was the first meeting. It's a very difficult task that they undertook, and I think achieved what was the purpose of our studies are intended to achieve, and that is a concensus position. It can only be useful to those who wish to use it if it does represent a concensus view.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

they did achieve this on a very difficult subject. And we are most appreciative of that. And I'm certain that this report will prove valuable to policy makers both here and abroad in jointly trying to achieve the goal of increased petroleum development in third world countries.

Now the next report will be given by Chairman Ralph Bailey. His committee, also, has been very hard at work in organizing to try to undertake an updating of the enhanced oil recovery report that was done a while back.

Mr. Bailey.

MR. BAILEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As John has already said, earlier this year Secretary Edwards requested the NPC to undertake a new study of enhanced oil recovery, which is really an update of the 1976 study.

The Council was asked to the large appropriate and expanded where we the 1976 study the 1976 study the 1976 study.

The basis for requesting the new study were the changes in technology and economics on enhanced oil recovery that had occurred since the 1976 report was completed. The 1976 EOR study was a comprehensive, far-reaching analysis of EOR potential in the United States, which focused on the processes by which recovery from existing reservoirs might be improved.

At our last meeting, the Council agreed to undertake the study. And the Committee on Enhanced Oil Recovery was established to assist the Council in responding to the Secretary's request.

Our Committee is charged with conducting the new

study, and preparing a report for submission to the entire Council for its consideration. To determine the degree of update needed and the scope of the new study, we reviewed the 1976 report in light of today's technology and the change of economic factors.

In the intervening six years, there have been extensions of the technology that will affect the U.S. enhanced oil recovery potential in terms of recovery rates and costs. While basic processes have not changed drastically, there are strong indications that the focus is changing such that the mix of basic processes that will be applied may be significantly different than that shown in the 1976 study. Also, the regulatory and tax changes that have occurred will alter the 1976 projected costs and economics significantly.

The Committee met in October, and we agreed that the '76 study is outdated. And, further, that a review and rework of all parts of the original study is required. And this action then sets the scope of the new study to be essentially the same as the '76 study.

We also established the following organization structure to assist in carrying out the study: A coordinating subcommittee, and four task groups covering major categories of study effort.

There are three process task groups: Chemical, miscible displacement, and thermal. And a cost and economics task group. And I am pleased to note the outstanding effort that we have received from the other Council members as we have staffed these study groups.

The coordinating subcommittee will function as the coordinating and integrating organization, assuring that task groups are fully staffed to conduct detailed analyses and compile results of various aspects of the overall study; that task group's objective and assignments are defined with study results formatted so as to provide for complete reporting; that task group activities and interfaces are coordinated as needed to satisfy integration of the analysis and permit appropriate reporting; that task group activities are timely and will lead to producing a comprehensive report; and, finally, that drafts of the EOR updated reports are prepared for appoval by the Committee on enhanced oil recovery.

The Chemical, Miscible Displacement and Thermal Task Groups, together with the coordinating subcommittee, are responsible for reviewing and defining a data base of existing reservoirs and applicable screening procedures. These processed task groups are also responsible for evaluating reservoirs, recovery rate, and developing programs together with process-specific cost schedules.

In conducting these tasks, the groups will be aided by the coordinating subcommittee in reconciling conflicts as they may arise. They also will qualify and render judgment on material supply, environmental and safety constraints as well as process weaknesses, and the need for further research and development.

In so doing, the groups will provide a sensitivity measure of process application and recovery ranges.

The Costs and Economics Task Group is responsible

for defining the economic basis and framework of estimating enhanced oil recovery under several economic situations. In addition, this task group will assist the other groups by compiling the non-process specific costs, coordinating timing, and defining a uniform economic model to be used for evaluating individual reservoirs and cases. In addition, the task group, with the assistance of the process task groups, will compile and extrapolate the individual reservoir evaluations to a national aggregate, to include reserves, recovery rates, and economics.

And as this is a progress report, I am pleased to note that much has already been accomplished in the month and a half since the Committee's organizational meeting. We are also most appreciative of the significant support that the Department of Energy has been lending to this study effort.

The coordinating subcommittee has begun assembling and reviewing a data base for inclusion in the study. And this data base, made available through the Department of Energy's Bartlesville Energy Technology Center, contains basic data for over 2,400 reservoirs that may be amenable to enhanced oil recovery processes.

Now this amounts to over 50 percent of the U.S. oil in place. And could be as high as 65 percent. And by contrast, the 1976 study examined only 245 reservoirs, covering approximately 30 percent of the oil in place.

In short, the Committee and the task groups will have available for their use the most comprehensive data base ever used in an analysis of enhanced oil recovery potential.

The process task groups will soon begin reviewing and calibrating the process models also being made available by the Department. And once this step is completed and the data base is assembled and revised, each process task group will begin computer runs for all reservoirs to which that process is applicable. The results of the task groups' analyses will then be integrated, the economic cases run, and initial reports will be drafted.

The proposed schedule for the EOR study calls for various meetings and work sessions of the subcommittee, and the various task groups, throughout the winter and the spring, and into the summer of next year. The Committee will meet in May of next year to review the progress of the coordinating committee and the task groups, and then we will meet again, if we can keep on schedule, in October of next year to consider a final report for submission to the Council.

So, Mr. Chairman, I think things are moving along very well, and we look forward to being able in the fall of next year to present a complete new analysis of enhanced oil recovery to the Council.

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you very much, Ralph. And although this is a progress report, it requires no formal action on the part of the membership. But I am sure Chairman Bailey would be most pleased to receive any questions, suggestions or clarification that might be in order at this time.

(No response)

MR. BOOKOUT: Fine. Ralph, it looks like everyone

agrees with the approach. We will all look forward to the final document.

We should turn our attention now to some administrative matters. The first such matter is to receive a report from the Agenda Committee. A.V. Jones is Chairman of that Committee, and he will now present to you his Committee's recommendations.

A.V.

MR. JONES: By letter dated November the 3rd, 1982, the Secretary of Energy requested the National Petroleum Council to update the inventory and storage volume of its 1979 report entitled "Petroleum Storage and Transportation Capacities." The letter request, a copy of which is attached to the agenda that you people have, specifies that your new study should update the analysis of the minimum operating levels for crude oil, motor gasoline, distillate fuel oil, and residual oil, as well as update the estimates of total storage capacity and the quantity of crude oil and refined products availabe for use. Other aspects of the overall U.S. petroleum inventory and storage system should be discussed as appropriate.

Pursuant to Section 7-1 of the Articles of Organization of the Council, this request was referred to the Agenda Committee for consideration as to whether the request is proper and advisable for the Council to undertake. In consideration of the request, the Committee makes the following recommendations:

The 1979 study of inventories and storage capacities

was the eighth such report the NPC has prepared since 1948.

These reports have been published in two to seven year intervals, but recently the intervals have been four and five years.

The principal objective of the past six reports was to determine the total storage capacity of the primary distribution system and the amount of petroleum inventory available in the event of an emergency. In 1974 and 1979, the Council also estimated the minimum operating inventory — the level of petroleum stocks below which spot shortages and runouts would occur.

The Council's 1979 report was based on 1978 data and there are a number of significant factors that distinguish the 1982 petroleum situation from that which existed in 1978:

The demand for petroleum products is down, with little prospect of a return to earlier projected levels;

The historical seasonal swings in inventories have been reduced:

Inventories of crude oil are comfortably high; and Refining capacity is underutilized.

In the spring of this year, inventories of distillate and residual fuel oils dropped below the Council's 1979 minimum operating inventory estimates and the motor gasoline stocks dropped almost to their minimum operating inventory.

As a result, there has been a significant amount of public discussion and questioning regarding the NPC's minimum operating estimates, despite the flexibility of crude oil markets and underutilization of refinery capacity. The Secretary determined that an update of the 1979 analysis is

21

22

23

24

25

necessary in that it was based on 1978 data and that the petroleum situation has significantly changed since then. Mr. Chairman, the Agenda Committee finds that this request is proper and advisable for the Council's consideration, and recommends that the Council agree to undertake a new analysis of inventories and storage. This completes the report of the Agenda Committee, and I move that it be adopted by the membership of the National Petroleum Council. MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you. Do we have a second to that motion? VOICE: I second it.

Thank you very much. MR. BOOKOUT:

All those in favor, would they please indicate by saying an "aye."

(Chorus of "ayes")

Those opposed. MR. BOOKOUT:

(No response)

Thank you very much. Of course, as MR. BOOKOUT: normal practice, we will be trying to put together a committee to progress this study, and we know we can count on your I would suggest, and I would ask that any of you that have a specific interest in this particular study -- it would be very helpful and useful if you could indicate to either Mr. Nichols or myself at the end of this meeting. Ιt would be most helpful.

I certainly want to thank each of you for the support you have given the important study effort, of the Council over the past year. Studies such as the third world petroleum development report which has just been approved today; the enhanced oil recovery in progress; and the inventory and storage assignment you just accepted contribute in a very positive way to the Department's understanding of our industry, and to continuing the lines of communication between government and the petroleum industry.

Now your financial support of the Council operations is also a demonstration of your commitment to the Council's The Finance Committee met yesterday to review the Council's operation budget for 1982 and to project the financial requirements for the year of 1983. John Phillips, our very able Chairman of the Finance Committee, will now present the Committee's report.

Mr. Phillips.

The Finance Committee met yesterday, MR. PHILLIPS: and I am happy to report that the financial condition of the Council is excellent. At our meeting, we reviewed calendar year 1982 expenditures and receipts and project a sizeable surplus for the year. This is due in no small part to your unprecedented response to the request for contributions to cover the operating expenses of the Council.

We then discussed a budget for calendar year 1983. As a result of this discussion, we recommend a 1983 calendar year budget in the amount of \$1,675,000.00, which is approximately the same size as the '82 number.

This provides funds to complete both the enhanced oil recovery and the inventory and storage studies which

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

will be underway in 1983.

We also discussed Council members who recommended contributions. And even though the final decision on this matter will not be made until our next meeting, it now appears that we can reduce the 1983-84 contributions for the third straight year by approximately 10 to 15 percent.

Mr. Chairman, I now move that the Council members approve a calendar year 1983 operating budget in the amount of \$1,675,000.00, and authorize the Chairman to make such additional expenditures as may be necessary from the contingency fund.

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you, John. That was a very fine report.

You have heard the motion. I am sure I will have plenty of seconds today. Does anyone care to second that motion?

VOICE: I second it.

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you very much. Will everyone indicate in favor of that report by saying "aye"?

(Chorus of "ayes")

MR. BOOKOUT: Any opposed?

(No response)

MR. BOOKOUT: Thank you, John, very much. Your Committee continues to perform miracles in these times by offering us reductions in the cost, and we appreciate very much your efforts and your Committee's efforts in that regard.

The next agenda item marks the passing of a distinguished labor leader and Council member, Marty Ward,

President, United Association of Journeymen and Apprentice of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry of the United States and Canada, who died on October 9.

Mr. Ward was appointed to the Council in January of 1979. He served on NPC study committees, and for three years he served as a member of the Finance Committee.

Ladies and gentlemen, I propose that we rise for a moment of silent reflection.

(Pause)

MR. BOOKOUT: Ladies and gentlemen, this brings us to the final agenda item for this morning. And I have an additional subject to mention. I would like each of you to mark Thursday, May the 19th, 1983 on your calendar as a tentative date for the next meeting of the Council. We will, of course, confirm the date with you early next spring.

VOICE: What was that? A Thursday?

MR. BOOKOUT: That is May 19th, 1983.

Now does any Council member have any other matters to raise at this time? New business or old business?

(No response)

MR. BOOKOUT: There being no further business, I would like to announce that in about 10 minutes or as soon as the room clears, Mr. Swearingen and I will be available to respond to any questions from the press on the third world petroleum development report and other Council business.

Do I have a motion for adjournment?

VOICE: So moved.

MR. BOOKOUT: Second?

```
VOICE: Seconded.
1
              MR. BOOKOUT: Meeting adjourned. Thank you very
2
   much.
3
               (Whereupon, at 10:05 a.m., the meeting was ad-
4
   journed.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings before the United States Department of Energy National Petroleum Council meeting, Wednesday, December 1, 1982, were held as herein appears and that this is the original transcript thereof.

WILLIAM J. MOFFITT